

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,318	01/23/2004	Rodger A. Lisk	461-001	8185
7590 09/28/2006			EXAMINER	
John G. Chupa			LE, HUNG CHARLIE	
Law Offices of John Chupa and Associates, P.C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 50			ARTONII	TATER NOMBER
28535 Orchard Lake Rd.			3663	
Farmington Hills, MI 48334			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/764,318	LISK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hung C. Le	3663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status _					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	<u>ne 2006</u> .				
, <u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1 - 22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 - 16 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17 - 22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed are all accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed acc	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
2) Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/28/2006.	5) Notice of Informal I				

Application/Control Number: 10/764,318 Page 2

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species in Figure 4 in the reply filed on

06/28/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that:

No reason was given. Therefore, it is treated as no traverse.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Note: Original claims 1 – 16 were cancelled by applicant.

Claims 17 – 22 were added by applicant.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities:

"...wherein said second and said fifth blade..."

Should be: "... wherein said second blade and said fifth blade...".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/764,318 Page 3

Art Unit: 3663

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 17 - 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 17 & 22 recite: "... selectively perform a desired operation on a sheet of

material in a desired manner...". It is not known what is all meant and encompasses

by the terms "desired operation" and "desired manner". Therefore, these terms

make the claims indefinite.

Claims 18 – 21 recite: "... are substantially the same ...". It is not known what all is

meant and encompasses by the term "substantially", therefore, the term makes the

claims indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

Art Unit: 3663

 Claims 17 – 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by C. H. Dawson (3.023,490).

With respect to claim 17: Dawson (Abstract, Figures 1 – 8, claims) discloses: A tooling assembly comprising:

a first rotatable member having a first blade which is comprised of only carbide, a second blade which is comprised of only steel, and a third blade which is comprised only of steel, wherein said second and third blades are respectively and operatively disposed at opposite sides of said first blade, thereby causing said first blade to be operatively positioned between said second blade and said third blade; a second rotatable member having a fourth blade which is comprised only of carbide and which is disposed directly above and which overlays said first blade, a fifth blade which is comprised of only steel, and a sixth blade which is comprised only of steel, wherein said fifth and sixth blades are respectively and operatively disposed at opposite sides of said fourth blade, thereby causing said fourth blade to be operatively positioned between said fifth blade and said sixth blade: wherein said first blade is constrained to selectively and cuttingly engage only said fifth blade, and wherein said second blade is constrained to cuttingly engage only said fourth blade; thereby causing said tooling assembly to operatively and selectively perform a desired operation on a sheet of material in a desired manner.

While patent drawings are not drawn to scale, relationships clearly shown in the

Art Unit: 3663

drawings of a reference patent cannot be disregarded in determining the patentability of claims. See <u>In re Mraz</u>, 59 CCPA 866, 455 F.2d 1069, 173 USPQ 25 (1972).

With respect to claim 18: Dawson (Abstract, Figures 1 – 8, claims) further discloses: Wherein said first blade and said fourth blade are substantially the same size and shape.

With respect to claim 19: Dawson (Abstract, Figures 1 - 8, claims) further discloses: Wherein said third blade and said sixth blade are substantially the same size and shape.

With respect to claim 20: Dawson (Abstract, Figures 1 – 8, claims) further discloses Wherein said second and said fifth blade are substantially the same size and shape.

With respect to claim 21: Dawson (Abstract, Figures 1 – 8, claims) further discloses: Wherein said first rotatable member and said second rotatable member contain substantial the same number of steel blades, and said substantially the same number of carbide blades.

With respect to claim 22: Dawson (Abstract, Figures 1 – 8, claims) discloses:

Application/Control Number: 10/764,318

Page 6

Art Unit: 3663

A tooling assembly comprising a first member having a first plurality of blades made only from steel and a second plurality of blades made only from carbide, wherein each of said second plurality of blades are respectively and operatively positioned between a respective and unique pair of said first plurality of blades; a second rotatable member having a third plurality of blades made only from steel and a fourth plurality of blades made only from carbide; wherein each of said fourth plurality of blades are respectively and operatively positioned between a respective and unique pair of said third plurality of blades; wherein each of said first plurality of blades only respectively and cuttingly engage a unique one of said fourth plurality of blades without cuttingly engage one of said third plurality of blades, and wherein each of said second plurality of blades respectively and cuttingly engage a unique one of said third plurality of blades without cuttingly engage one of said fourth plurality of blades, thereby causing said tooling assembly to operatively and selectively perform a desired operation on a sheet material in a desired manner and wherein each unique one of said carbide blades of said rotatable member is deployed above and overlays a unique one of said carbide blades of said second rotatable member.

7. The statements of intended use or field of use, e.g., "having..., causing...,etc..." clauses are essentially method limitations or statements or intended or desired use. Thus, these claims as well as other statements of intended use do not serve to patentably distinguish the claimed structure over that of the reference. See In re

Art Unit: 3663

Pearson, 181 USPQ 641; In re Yanush, 177 USPQ 705; In re Finsterwalder, 168 USPQ 530; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458; Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2nd 1647.

See MPEP § 2114 which states:

A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from the prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2nd 1647

Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than functions. In re Danly, 120 USPQ 528, 531.

Apparatus claims cover what a device is not what a device does. <u>Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc.</u>, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528.

As set forth in MPEP § 2115, a recitation in a claim to the material or article worked upon does not serve to limit an apparatus claim.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung C. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-8757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 07:30am - 05:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack W. Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,318 Page 8

Art Unit: 3663

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HCL 09/14/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER